



# UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA

## 2<sup>nd</sup> ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia  
Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443  
Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

### REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

<b>Reviewers' Initial</b>	mg			
<b>Title of The Manuscript</b>	A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS OF INDONESIAN AND ARABIC PHONETICS			
<b>Name of the Author(s)</b>	1. Shahkholid Nasution 2. Rahmah Fithriani 3. Mhd. Syahnan 4. Isnaini Harahap 5. Syafaruddin 6. Waizul Qarni			
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Partially</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>ARTICLE:</b> Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?	✓			
<b>TITLE:</b> Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?	✓			
<b>ABSTRACT:</b> Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?	✓			
<b>INTRODUCTION:</b> Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?	✓			
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW:</b> Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?	✓			
<b>METHODOLOGY:</b> Is the research method set systematically?	✓			
Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?	✓			
<b>FINDINGS/RESULTS:</b> Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly?	✓			
Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained appropriately?	✓			
<b>DISCUSSION:</b> Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?	✓			
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	✓			
<b>REFERENCES:</b> Are the references current and sufficient?	✓			
Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 <sup>th</sup> Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?	✓			
<b>GRAMMAR AND COHESION:</b> Is the language clear and understandable?	✓			
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	✓			
<b>FORMAT:</b> Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?	✓			
<b>DECISION FROM REVIEWER</b> (tick where appropriate):				
<b>Accepted without revision</b>	✓			
<b>Accepted with minor revision</b>				
<b>Accepted with major revision</b>				
<b>Rejected due to poor quality</b>				
<b>Rejected due to unrelated to the conference fields.</b>				
<b>Rejected due to ethical issues</b>				
<b>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS</b> (if any):				

--